SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Date: March 10, 2017

To: Principals, Area Superintendents, Division and Department Heads

Subject: MODIFICATION OF SITE PLANS FOR 2017-18 SCHOOL YEAR

Department and/or

Persons Concerned: Principals, School Site Councils (SSC), and Area Superintendents

Due Dates: February 6, 2017 Categorical (and all) budgets

March 17, 2017 Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

March 17, 2017 SSC Minutes (SPSA Approval)

Reference: SB 374 of 2001, Public Schools Accountability Act (PSAA) of 1999 SB 1X

Chapter 3 of 1999, AB 961 of 1999, Ed Code 64001, Ed Code 52055.750-

52055.770, and ESEA Section 1003(g)

Action Requested: 1) Involve the SSC and other site advisory groups in meaningful

participation in the revision of the school site plan.

2) Submit one (1) copy: 2017-18 Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Recommendations and Assurances with original signatures to designated Area Superintendent, Education Center, Room 2014

- 3) Submit SPSA via Site Based Budget (SBB).
- 4) Maintain evidence of compliance on site.

Attachment 1 Major Categorical Funds Spending Guidelines 2017-18

Attachment 2 2017-18 Single Plan for Student Achievement Recommendations and Assurances

Attachment 3 Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department 2017-18

Contact Information

Attachment 4a WASC Recommendations 2017-18 EXAMPLE

Attachment 4b WASC Recommendations 2017-18 TEMPLATE – Appendix G

Attachment 5a SPSA Assessment and Evaluation (English)

Attachment 5b SPSA Assessment and Evaluation (Spanish)

Attachment 5c SPSA Assessment and Evaluation Summary – Appendix F

Attachment 6 SPSA Checklist and Timeline

Brief Explanation:

As a result of SB 374, schools that receive categorical program funding through the Consolidated Application are required to develop a Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA). As part of the annual planning cycle (Ed Code 64001(g), these plans must be reviewed and updated based on the most current student achievement data. At SDUSD these are Title I funds.

School plans need to be submitted the spring of 2017 for implementation in the 2017-18 school year. In order to process the budget allocations for 2017-18, a plan reflecting new updated "scientifically-based research" strategies that strengthen the core academic program must be submitted.

The term "scientifically-based research" means research that involves the application of rigorous, systematic and objective procedures to obtain reliable and valid knowledge relevant to education activities and programs [sec. 9101(37) of the ESEA]. This plan should reflect new priorities based on new/additional student needs, and/or similar methodologies with effective measurable outcomes.

SDUSD implements a Title I Schoolwide Program. A schoolwide program is a comprehensive reform strategy designed to upgrade the entire educational program in a Title I school; its primary goal is to ensure that all students, particularly those who are low-achieving, demonstrate proficient and advanced levels of achievement on State academic achievement standards.

The Schoolwide Program (SWP) requires a Schoolwide Plan. SDUSD embeds the SWP plan within the structure of the SPSA. Schools must annually evaluate the plan's implementation and outcomes to determine whether the academic achievement of all students, and particularly of low-achieving students, improved, whether the goals and objectives contained in the plan were achieved, and if the plan is still appropriate as written (34 CFR 200.26).

Upon approval, the Single Plan for Student Achievement is in place for the 2017-18 school year.

The SPSA identifies and addresses the instructional needs of students and specifies how categorical funds provided through the Consolidated Application will be used to accomplish the goals outlined in the plan. State guidelines require that the SPSA:

- Assess student progress toward achieving stated goals and provide evidence.
- Identify site-specific achievement goals based on a variety of student performance data.
- Describe specific instructional strategies to accelerate student learning.
- Describe the ways in which student progress will be monitored on a regular basis.
- Identify interventions for students not meeting standards.
- Delineate strategies for effective parent engagement (more than communication).
- Reflect estimated costs and funding sources.
- Involve consultation with other site advisory groups.

State regulations require that the School Site Council (SSC) shall be the group responsible for developing and modifying site plans in collaboration with the site advisory groups. The SSC must also vote to approve the school's SPSA prior to the due date and must also vote during the year to approve changes to the SPSA.

State regulations require that the School Site Council (SSC) shall be the group responsible for developing and modifying site plans in collaboration with the site advisory groups. The SSC must also vote to approve the school's SPSA prior to the due date and must also vote during the year to approve changes to the SPSA.

Consultation with site advisory groups about student performance data, student needs, identified goals, appropriate interventions/preventions, and associated budgets must continue to be a part of each SSC meeting. This ongoing involvement of the SSC in the review of the site plan is a critical element of the annual planning cycle and should be reflected in meeting agendas and minutes.

As a reminder, a one-year term (1 year) of membership is defined as service from November of elected year (or as soon as elections are held) through October of the following year.

Documentation of SSC development, modification, monitoring, and evaluation of the site plans must be maintained at each school in the SSC Notebook. All documentation must be maintained at the site for five (5) years, plus the current year.

The SSC must:

- 1. **Review** all current student achievement data.
- 2. **Consult** site advisory groups.
- 3. **Complete** the following sections of the Single Plan for Student Achievement:
 - Appendix F, SPSA Assessment and Evaluation (Attachment 5a) with Assessment and Evaluation Summary (Attachment 5b).
 - Site Information (Step 1 of SBB).
 - SPSA Executive Summary (Step 3 of SBB).
 - School Goals, Actions, and Costs (Step 4 of SBB).
 - WASC Recommendations 2017-18 Appendix G (Attachment 4a).
- 4. **Attach** the following documents electronically into the SPSA via SBB in step 3.
 - a. SPSA Assessment and Evaluation Summary Appendix F (Attachment 5b).
 - Title I Parent Involvement Policy or Parent Involvement Policy for Non-Title I Schools

 Appendix C.
 - c. Home/School Compact Appendix D.
 - d. WASC Recommendations 2017-18 TEMPLATE- Appendix G (Attachment 4a).
- 5. **Approve** the updated 2017-18 Single Plan for Student Achievement and **sign** the 2017-18 SPSA Recommendations and Assurances (**Attachment 2**).
- 6. **Submit** the SPSA (**Step 10 of SBB**).

Budget:

Remember that the site budget must reflect all categorical and LCFF expenditures identified in the Budget Expenditures sections of the site plan. Categorical and LCFF resources that may have been allocated to the school include:

- Title I (Resource Code 30100)
- Title I Parent Involvement (Resource Code 30103)
- Title I Program Improvement (Resource Code 30106)
- LCFF (Resource Code 09800)

If categorical money is allocated in the budget and not identified in the plan, the budget and plan cannot be approved. Sites must specifically identify how non-instructional supplies and expenses support student achievement.

Training:

The Site Based Budget (SBB) tool will be used to produce the 2017-18 Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA). Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department staff will provide assistance in completing the plans at the SPSA hands-on workshops.

SPSAs and categorical budgets (as applicable) must be approved by SSCs and signed by the school's principal, SSC chairperson, and designated area superintendent.

What to do	Where/When
1. One (1) copy of the 2017-18 Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) Recommendations and Assurances (R/A) with original signatures of: ✓ Principal ✓ SSC chairperson ✓ Area Superintendent	Submit one (1) original of the 2017-18 SPSA R/A with original signatures to designated: Area Superintendent Education Center Room 2014
2. 2017-18 Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA).	Submit 2017-18 SPSA via SBB tool

The Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department will review the budget allocations and plan alignment upon submission. If the budget for all categorical program funds is aligned with the site plan, the Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department staff will recommend the SPSA to the Board of Education for approval.

The Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department will consult with school principals and area superintendents to address any necessary revisions. Schools will resubmit their SPSAs if revisions to the plans or budgets are required. Corrected plans and budgets are to be resubmitted via the Site Based Budget (SBB) tool for additional review by the Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department.

A copy of the Board of Education approved SPSA must be maintained on site. The SSC notebook should be used to maintain important information and documentation for school use to meet compliance requirements. In addition to the SPSA, the SSC notebook should include the SSC Roster, categorical budget information, meeting documentation such as agendas, minutes and handouts.

If you have questions, please call the Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department at (619) 725-5605 or refer to the Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department Contact Information (Attachment 5).

Vikki Henton Director Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability

APPROVED:

Patricia Koch, Ph.D.

Interim Chief Financial Officer Office of Chief Financial Officer

VH:mdj

Attachments (9)



San Diego Unified School District Financial Planning and Development Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department

MAJOR CATEGORICAL (TITLE I) FUNDS EXPENDITURE GUIDELINES 2017-18

A. FUNDS INCLUDED:

Resource Code 30100 Basic Program Title I/Part A Part of the Elementary and

Secondary Education Act, which provides federal money to support economically disadvantaged students and close academic achievement

gaps.

Resource Code 30103 Title I Parent Involvement Used to encourage parent involvement

in order to improve student

achievement.

Resource Code 30106 Title 1 Program Improvement

Supplemental (PI)

Supplemental funds to implement provisions of Elementary and Secondary Education Act. Funding is provided with the goal to improve student achievement while meeting the state's standards that will allow the school to exit PI status. Schools in PI year 3 receive the funds in preparation for PI year 4 and then receive it each year in PI thereafter.

B. PURPOSE:

- 1. Focus on improving instruction and extending learning time. These programs enable schools to provide **supplemental** opportunities for students to achieve proficiency in the state content standards. **Emphasis is on direct instructional support to students in core subjects.**
- 2. Professional development is a priority and is available to anyone in a schoolwide program, including teachers, classroom aides and, where appropriate, other staff and parents. Activities must be based on student needs at the school site.
- 3. **Supplement,** not supplant the district's general program. Use of Title I funds must not replace district funds. Title I funds must add to or enhance district program.
- 4. Foster new types of parent partnerships that focus on improving student achievement.

C. PROCESS:

Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA)

A Needs Assessment identifies student learning needs at the school site based on an analysis of current student performance data in relation to state standards. The focus is on the academic needs of the children. The students' educational needs drive the planning cycle. The SPSA describes strategies that will coordinate use of federal, state, and local resources to improve instruction and student achievement. The School Site Council (SSC) oversees and approves the plan and use of the associated Title I budget allocations.

Title I Schoolwide Program (SWP)

A federal program that puts emphasis on high achievement for all students while serving the most disadvantaged youth and providing flexibility in spending Title I funds. The needs assessment is a required component of the SWP and the SPSA serves as the Title I Schoolwide Plan.

D. BUDGET:

- 1. Each school develops a budget to implement all strategies for each available funding source. It includes but is not limited to staffing, materials, supplies, contracted services, and equipment that will directly enhance student learning at the school site. The SPSA and the embedded Title I budget is approved by the Board of Education.
- 2. The SSC must approve transfers of allocations within any of the above-listed programs.

E. SPENDING:

Expenditures from the Title I resources are routed through and reviewed by the Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department for approval.

Spending Instructions for Title I Budgets:

SSC approval is required for revisions to Title I expenditures originally allocated in the SPSA. The Title I Justification of Expenditure and SPSA Addendum document these changes and must be kept with the SPSA.

Complete the Title I Justification of Expenditure and SPSA Addendum in order to make revisions to Title I spending. Send the Title I Justification of Expenditure and SPSA Addendum with original principal and SSC chairperson signatures along with SSC meeting minutes verifying SSC approval.

Send to:

Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department Education Center, Room 3209

The Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability (FPMA) resource teacher notifies the principal and budget analyst via an email upon approval of the expense/budget transfers.

TITLE I FUND REQUIREMENTS AND RESTRICTIONS EXPLAINED:

All categorical budgets **MUST** be for direct services that impact student achievement. **Students must be considered first**. You must be able to answer the questions:

- Are these expenditures centered on our student needs?
- Do these expenditures provide services that would not be provided absent categorical funding?
- Do these expenditures directly affect each student in progressing to proficiency on the Common Core State Standards?
- Does this categorical funding allocation help us reach our area goals?
- Is this activity reflected in our Single Plan for Student Achievement?

SPECIFIC SPENDING PROCESSES AND REQUIREMENTS:

1. **Equipment**

- a. General type of equipment and intended use/benefit must be identified in the site plan.
- b. If not **clearly instructional**, seek guidance in advance from your assigned Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department Resource Teacher (**Attachment 5**).
- c. No procurement cards.
- d. No confirming orders (i.e., purchase order created after item is purchased and delivered).

2. Instructional Materials

- a. Must be related to student academic needs, instructional focus, and core curriculum.
- b. Must not replace district funded materials and instruction.

3. Non-Instructional Supplies and Expenses

- a. Must specifically identify how non-instructional supplies and expenses support student achievement.
- b. Must specifically identify how administrative costs such as postage, office supplies, clerical time, etc., as well as maintenance agreements, and non-classroom equipment support student achievement.

4. **Conferences and/or Consultants** (for professional development)

a. Must be related to site plan.

6. Field Trips

- a. Must be part of classroom instructional plan.
- b. Must be related to core academics.
- c. Must have appropriate pre- and post-trip activities.
- d. Must be tied to the SPSA.

7. Substitutes (for classroom teachers)

- a. For professional development.
- b. To provide leave for teachers paid from the same funding source.

8. Hourly time

- a. For professional development.
- b. Extra time for support of academic programs.
- c. Clerical time beyond the regular school day in support of academic programs.

9. Parent Involvement

- a. Workshops for parents.
- b. Materials for parent meetings, training, parent resource library.
- c. Speakers or consultants for parents.
- d. Communications with parents (including mailings).
- e. Light refreshments only.
 - Meals are **NOT** allowable.
- f. Childcare for parents to attend workshops.
- g. Translation for parents attending workshops.
- h. Equipment and supplies for parent room.

Reminder: Title I funds are never used to fund expenses/services provided by the District for the core program or that are otherwise funded through other specific District initiatives or grants.

2017-18 CATEGORICAL SPENDING GUIDELINES

Rationales for Categorical Spending must be clearly described in the Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA).

Resource #	Resource Name	Allowable Expenses
30100	Title I Basic	Equipment –directly related to support student achievement in the Common Core State Standards.
		Instructional Materials
		Travel for professional development (must be pre-approved).
		Conferences for professional development.
		Field Trips (in support of common core instruction).
		 Substitutes to provide release time for professional development (for classroom teachers).
		Parent Involvement
		 Additional FTE above district allocation including: Nurse
		- Counselor
		 Avid teachers and tutors
		 Class size reduction teachers
		- Push-in Instruction teacher
		- Subject-specific resource teachers
		 Classroom teachers who provide qualitatively different instruction to underperforming students.
		 Prep teachers (only if used to release teachers for professional development).
		- Parent Academic Liaison
30100	Title I Basic	 Hourly time including: Classroom teachers and classified staff working directly in supplemental services and/or programs for students Nursing Time
		- Counselor Time
		Pupil Advocate
		Assistants (guidance, classroom, library, etc.)
		If working directly with students on intervention programs or activities.
		This MUST be clearly articulated in the SPSA.

Resource #	Resource Name	Allowable Expenses
30103	Title I Parent Involvement	 Materials for parent meetings and training Conferences and workshops for parents Parent communication materials Light refreshments (meals are not allowable).
30106	Title I Program Improvement Supplemental (PI schools in Years 4 & 5 only)	 Same as for Title I Basic Expenditures must be directly related to meeting the goals in the school's Program Improvement plan.



San Diego City Schools Financial Planning and Development Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department

2017-2018 SINGLE PLAN FOR STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS AND ASSURANCES

SCHOOL NAME	:			DUE March 17, 2017
SITE CONTACT	PERSON:			
PHONE:	FAX:	E-MAIL ADDRE	SS:	
Indicate which	of the following Federal and State P choolwide Programs (SWP)			eck all that apply):
	e Council (SSC) recommends this sch approval, and assures the Board of tl		spenditures	to the district Board of
1. The SSC is	correctly constituted, and was formed	in accordance with SDUSD Board	d of Education	on policy and state law.
	eviewed its responsibilities under state lating to material changes in the school		on policies,	including those Board
3. The SSC so	ought and considered all recommendation	ons from the following site groups	s or committ	ees before adopting this plan.
CHECK ALI	THAT APPLY TO YOUR SITE	AND LIST THE DATE OF	THE PR	ESENTATION TO SSC:
Engl	lish Learner Advisory Committee (ELA	(C)	Date of prese	entation:
Com	nmunity Advisory Committee for Speci	al Education Programs (CAC)	Date of preso	entation:
☐ Gifte	ed and Talented Education Program Ad	visory Committee (GATE)	Date of prese	entation:
☐ Site	Governance Team (SGT)	1	Date of prese	entation:
Othe	er (list):]	Date of preso	entation:
content req	eviewed the content requirements for sc uirements have been met, including the I Agency (LEA) Plan.			
	an is based upon a thorough analysis of aprehensive, coordinated plan to reach s			
6. The site pla	an or revisions to the site plan were ado	pted by the SSC on:		
	ed declare under penalty of perjury t Diego, California, on the date(s) indic		rrect and th	nat these Assurances were
Type/Drint	Name of School Principal	Signature of School Pr	incipal	Date
Type/IIIIt I	Name of School Principal	Signature of School Fr	incipai	Date
Type/Print I	Name of SSC Chairperson	Signature of SSC Chai	rperson	Date
Type/Print Na	me of Area Superintendent	Signature of Area Super	intendent	Date

Submit Document With Original Signatures To:

Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department Eugene Bruckner Education Center, Room 3209



Financial Planning and Development Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department Vikki Henton, Director

2017-18 CONTACT INFORMATION

STAFF ASSIGNMENTS	SCHOOL ASSIGNMENTS
Dario Gutierrez (619) 725-7785 Email: dgutierrez2@sandi.net	All Schools listed in Areas 1 and 2 Home Hospital, Riley, TRACE, and Whittier, iHigh
Mary Johnson (619) 725-5611 Email: <u>mjohnson8@sandi.net</u>	All Schools listed in Areas 5 and 6 DAC Liaison
Susan JK Weinshanker (619) 725-5614 Email: sweinshanker@sandi.net	All Schools listed in Areas 3 and 4 ALBA WASC Coordinator

Eugene Brucker Education Center 4100 Normal Street, Room 3209

Telephone: (619) 725-5605 Fax: (619) 725-7055

Additional resources and information can be found at the Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department website

https://www.sandi.net/staff/monitoring-and-accountability-reporting/categorical-programs

YEAR: 2017-2018



Financial Planning and Development Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department

APPENDIX G WASC RECOMMENDATIONS

ah a a1.			

Using the recommendations from your most recent full study visit complete the following template:

	Staff Training on new Common Core along with	1a. Developed an	Monthly discussions at
training for Common Core in efforts to strengthen differentiation strategies for all subgroups. ILT definition and Training to the strengthen of the streng	lirections focusing on the needs and support tructures for strategic & ntensive high school earners, with an emphasis on English Learners. LT to work with staff to develop a consistent entervention system for 9 th and 10 th grade students. Training for Administrative taff and ILT by district or County staff.	understanding among site & district staff regarding the needs of strategic and intensive learners and developing an English/ Language Arts system which includes interventions to support their achievement. Agreement of Leadership & staff to offer a system of	Monthly School Newsletter: Sept 2017 – June 2018 - Principal Monthly School Newsletter: Sept 2017 – June 2018 - Principal Monthly English Department Meetings: Sept 2017 – June 2018 – English Chair/ District Curriculum Specialist. Monthly Staff wide PLC development with a focus on ELA strategies for all curricular areas: Sept
pac	ELA and EL staff to review pacing of 9 th and 10 th grade core program to ensure that	differentiated interventions to students who are not	2017 – June 2018 – ILT/ English Department.

WASC: Schoolwide	SPSA Goal Area:	Professional	Means to Assess	Reporting Timeline
Critical Areas for follow-up	1, 2, 3, 4 or 5	Development Resources	Improvement	Responsible Person(s)
		students are receiving new Common Core standards and have appropriate assessments to track student proficiency.	performing at the "proficient" Level, including specific EL support. 1b. Review 9 th and 10 th grade standards-based curriculum to ensure that priority standards are addressed consistently among all grade level courses. Provide interventions available to students based on student test and achievement data; (courses listed in school course catalog – list student learning levels and skills provided/taught. 9 th & 10 th grade ELA pacing guides are developed and indicate priority standards.	Reports to be submitted to Principal for accountability Monthly for PLCs: Sept 2017 – June 2018: ILT/ Department Chairs. 9th grade Pre-CAHSEE Test: October – Vice Principal Parent Night schoolwide review of intervention the first week in December – Principal/ ILT. Data Reported to SSC in December – Principal/ ILT. Report to be Published from December meeting: first week in January – Principal/Vice Principal/ ILT. Update School Website: Jan 2017 – Principal/ IT

WASC: Schoolwide Critical Areas for follow-up	SPSA Goal Area: 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5	Professional Development Resources	Means to Assess Improvement	Reporting Timeline Responsible Person(s)
			Principal walk- through includes the pacing calendar provided by the ELA staff presented and adopted by site staff.	
Increase student utilization of targeted support programs for all entering 9 th grade students by identifying reading level.	Goal: 1, 2, 3, and 4	Meetings with feeder school, OLEA support for district and English Specialist to determine assessments to be used and the cut point for proficiency. Meetings with administration and counselors to develop procedures & practices that will ensure that the testing occurs in a timely manner and those students are placed appropriately in the correct courses in September. Meetings with feeder school to develop agreements for preparation for core ELA program and placement test to be given on Jun of 8 th grade school year.	Establish an ELA placement system that determines whether incoming 9 th grade students either have the necessary reading level to access the 9 th grade core curriculum, or need to be placed in an accelerated reading intervention program (below 6 th grade) or need to be given a "strategic" intervention which may include a Strategic or ELD support.	Notification to all entering 9 th grade parents and students regarding placement and testing: August – September – Principal/ Counselor/ ILT. Testing on all incoming 9 th Graders – 8 th and 9 th grade English Teachers/ Counselor. Students placed in classes: September - Counselors
			9 th grade ELA teachers give language arts	

WASC: Schoolwide	SPSA Goal Area:	Professional	Means to Assess	Reporting Timeline
Critical Areas for follow-up	1, 2, 3, 4 or 5	Development Resources	Improvement	Responsible Person(s)
3. Maintain a unified purpose through the implementation of site efforts and plans Transformation Plan/SIG, SPSA, WASC Action Plan, the College Majors branding projecting a consistent message and goals.	Goal: 1 and 5	SSC to meet monthly to develop schoolwide vision to be shared with all stakeholders. Staff Presentations of school vision to promote student achievement for all ILT to work with staff and home groups to develop a consistent message for all	instructional materials placement exam. Develop a "watch list" for students who do not have reading ability for success. Written regulations regarding placement assessment system which include the list of diagnostic assessment with entrance & exit "cut point" for core, strategic & intensive intervention courses. Development of a schoolwide vision that is adopted and utilized for staff, students, parents and the community after development and consensus. Revisiting of the School Vision Annual at Open House meeting	All chairpersons will meet once a week from 8:00 – 10:00 a.m. to coordinate the implementation efforts for the site. Fall Back-to-School Night September 7:00 p.m., coordinated by Vice Principal.

WASC: Schoolwide	SPSA Goal Area:	Professional	Means to Assess	Reporting Timeline
Critical Areas for follow-up	1, 2, 3, 4 or 5	Development Resources	Improvement	Responsible Person(s)
		Training for Administrative staff and ILT by district or County staff.		Spring Open House April 7:00 pm – coordinated by Vice Principal. Monthly ILT meetings first Monday of every month, ILT Coordinator 2:30 – 4:00 p.m. Monthly SSC meetings – second Monday of every month – SSC Chair 2:30 – 4:00 p.m. Monthly Principal's Chats – every third Wednesday from 8:00 – 9:00 a.m. in Library weekly SIG meetings for all staff from 2:00 – 4:00 p.m. ELAC meeting third Monday of every month from 6:00 – 8:00 p.m. – coordinated by ELST All meetings will document the messages and the implementation. Evidence will include but is not limited to the agenda and the minutes for each specific group meetings.

WASC: Schoolwide Critical Areas for follow-up	SPSA Goal Area: 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5	Professional Development Resources	Means to Assess Improvement	Reporting Timeline Responsible Person(s)
4. Enhance capacity for credit	Goal 4	Meetings with administration	Develop a "watch	Quarterly evening counseling sessions from 6:00 – 8:00 p.m. during months Feb., May, Sept., and December. Weekly review by all
recovery and remediation in an effort to increase graduation rate.		and counselors to develop procedures and practices that will ensure that the testing occurs in a timely manner and those students are placed appropriately in the correct courses in September.	list" for students who do not have reading ability for success. Written regulations regarding placement assessment system which include the list of diagnostic assessment with entrance and exit "cut point" for core, strategic and intensive intervention courses.	teaching staff to identify all students not meeting proficiencies in English and mathematics. Thursday morning meeting with identified students with counseling staff. Fourth Thursday afternoon every month from 4 – 6 p.m. with parents of identified students.

YEAR: 2017-2018



Financial Planning and Development Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department

APPENDIX G WASC RECOMMENDATIONS

School:				
Using the recommendations	from your most rec	cent full study visit comp	lete the following te	emplate:
WASC: Schoolwide Critical Areas for follow-up	SPSA Goal Area: 1, 2, 3, 4 or 5	Professional Development Resources	Means to Assess Improvement	Reporting Timeline Responsible Person(s)



SCHOOL NAME:

Financial Planning and Development Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability

APPENDIX F - SINGLE PLAN FOR STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT ASSESSMENT AND EVALUATION SURVEY

Type or Print								
Please keep these surveys in your SSC binder for FPM	I documer	ntation.						
1. FOCUS AREA (MAXIMIZING INSTRUCTIONAL TIME)	Circi			N STATUS OPRIATE SC	ORE	OBSERVATIONS		
1. Does the school have clearly articulated strategies/activities for maximizing instructional time?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5			
2. Does the school have a pacing guide for each grade level in order for all teachers to know when standards are expected to be addressed and in what order?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5			
3. Does the school have a comprehensive assessment system in language arts and mathematics (including entry-level screening, diagnostic, benchmark, and summative assessments)?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5			
4. Do teachers and principals regularly analyze and discuss these data to make decisions regarding student placement, student progress, effectiveness of instruction, and intervention?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5			
5. Based on the needs analysis grade level(s), which content area(s) were targeted as needing improvement? a. Math b. English Language Arts (ELA) c. Science d. Social Studies e. English Language Development (ELD								
6. Which English Language Arts/Math strategies/activities support After School Intervention ELA/M/ELD Saturday Intervention Professional Development ELA/M/ELD Conference Attendance Technology ELA/M/ELD Contract Services Software Licenses (Accel.Reader, Read 180,LEXIA, BURST, etc.) Paraprofessionals (Teacher Assistants, Instructional Aides, Education Certificated Support Personnel (Intervention Coordinator, Instruction	ELA/M ELA/M ELA/M ELA/M onal Aides, l	[/ELD] [/ELD S [/ELD G [/ELD G Library Aid	During the CSR Supplemer Other les, Couns	day intervent	c.)	n-in, learning labs, etc.) ELA/M/ELD ELA/M/ELD ELA/M/ELD ELA/M/ELD ELA/M/ELD ELA/M/ELD		



	1.	1. FOCUS AREA (MAXIMIZING INSTRUCTIONAL T	TIME) - CONTINUED				
7.		What were the results/data findings (curriculum base programs or each goal?	s assessments, student work, report cards, etc) for strategie	es/activities supported by categorical			
	a.	a. English Language Arts –					
	b. Math –						
	c.	e. English Language Development –					
	d.	d. Graduation Rate –					
	e.	e. Parent Involvement -					
8.	If the a. d. g.	d. Not matched to students' needs e. Stude	ment what are the possible underlying causes? ted or ineffective PD to support implementation ent attendance	c. Not implemented with fidelity f. Need more time			
9.	Bas	Based on the analysis of the impact of the strategies/a	activities on student achievement, which strategies will be	modified or eliminated next year?			



2. FOCUS AREA (CLOSING THE ACHIEVEMENT GAP)	CIRC		ENTATION	N STATUS OPRIATE SCO	OBSERVATIONS		
Does the school have clearly articulated measurable goals for improvement in student achievement?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5		
2. Does the school have clearly articulated strategies/activities for closing the achievement gap?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5		
3. Is the school supplementing these programs appropriately based on program gaps and student needs?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5		
4. Does the school provide adequate support to English learners in both learning English and gaining access to content?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5		
5. Do students with disabilities receive appropriate accommodations and modifications?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5		
6. Is there evidence that assessments are aligned with clearly specified and appropriate achievement expectations?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5		
7. Based on the comprehensive needs analysis, which subgroup(s) were targeted as needing improvement?							

<i>J</i> .	specified and appropriate achievement expectations?	arry	1	2	3	4	5			
7.	Based on the comprehensive needs analysis, which subgroup(s) were targeted as needing improvement?									
	a. English Learners	b. Stude	nts with Dis	sabilities (S	SWD)	c.	Socio-ec	conomically Disadvantaged		
	d. Hispanic	e. Africa	an America	n		f.	Other _			



	3. FOCUS AREA (PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT)		IMPLEME LE THE MO		STATUS PRIATE SCO	OBSERVATIONS		
1.	Does the school have clearly articulated measurable goals for professional development?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5		
2.	Does the school provide instructional assistance and support to teachers of language arts and mathematics?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5		
3.	To what extent is the school implementing Professional Learning Communities (PLCs)?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5		
4.	How well are we using data to determine professional development for staff?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5		
5.	5. What role do teachers have in deciding what assessments will be used to evaluate individual students or the program as a whole?							
6.	What types of professional development has staff attended?							
7.	What is the impact/effect of our professional development progr	ram?						
8.	8. How are collective and individual decisions regarding professional development determined?							
9.	How is implementation of professional development monitored	?						



	4. FOCUS AREA (GRADUATION/PROMOTION)	Circ			ON STATUS ROPRIATE SO	Notes	
1.	Does the school have clearly articulated measurable goals for graduation/promotion?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
2.	Is there evidence to determine that the curriculum is clearly linked to the Core and other standards for student learning for promotion?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
3.	Is data used to inform curriculum, instruction, and assessment decisions to meet promotion or graduation standards?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
4.	Is the staff focused on supporting and challenging all students to meet promotion and graduation goals?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5	
5.	What evidence is there that there is a process for monitoring, e goals?	valuating a	nd renewin	g the curr	iculum to mee	t the needs o	of all learners for promotion and/or graduati

5.	What evidence is there that there is a process	for monitoring, evaluating and	d renewing the curricu	lum to meet the needs	s of all learners for pror	notion and/or gradua	tion
	goals?						

How are instructional strategies and activities aligned with student learning needs and expected outcomes for achievement?



	5. FOCUS AREA (PARENT ENGAGEMENT) IMPLEMENTATION STATUS CIRCLE THE MOST APPROPRIATE SCORE						Notes		
1.	Does the school have clearly articulated goals for parent engagement?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5			
2.	Are families and community members involved in school decisions?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5			
3.	Does the school regularly communicate to families who speak languages other than English?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5			
4.	What types of services are available to support families, community members, and students to encourage healthy family relationships?	Not at All	Minimally 2	Partially 3	Substantially 4	Fully 5			
5.	5. What evidence exists that families and community members are involved in meaningful activities that support students' learning? Which parents and community members are involved? What trends and patterns do we observe?								
6.	What types of community partnerships exist to support families	and studen	ts?						



Nombre de la Escuela:

Planificación y Desarrollo Financiero Planificación, Supervisión y Responsabilidad Financiera

APÉNDICE F - PLAN ÚNICO PARA EL APROVECHAMIENTO ESTUDIANTIL ENCUESTA DE ASESORÍA Y EVALUACIÓN

Escriba a máquina o a mano								
Por favor mantenga estas encuestas en su carpeta de SSC p	ara la doc	umentaci	ón de FPN	И.				
1. ÁREA DE ENFOQUE (MAXIMIZAR EL TIEMPO DE INSTRUCCIÓN)	ESTADO DE IMPLEMENTACIÓN PÓNGALE UN CÍRCULO A LA PUNTUACIÓN MÁS ADECUADA					Observaciones		
1. ¿Tiene la escuela estrategias/actividades claramente articuladas para maximizar el tiempo de instrucción?	De ningún aspecto 1	Lo mínimo 2	En parte 3	Bastante 4	Completa mente 5			
2. ¿Tiene la escuela un manual para marcar el paso en cada nivel de grado para que todos los maestros sepan cuándo se espera que se abarquen los estándares y en cuál orden?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Completa mente			
3. ¿Tiene la escuela un sistema integral de asesoría para las disciplinas lingüísticas y las matemáticas (incluyendo evaluaciones de detección temprana, diagnósticas, de referencia y sumativas?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Completa mente			
4. ¿Los maestros y directores regularmente analizan y discuten los datos para tomar decisiones sobre la asignación de los alumnos, el progreso estudiantil, la eficacia de la instrucción y las intervenciones?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Completa mente 5			
5. ¿Dependiendo del análisis de necesidades, se identificaron al/los nivel(es) de grado(s) y/o las materias con necesidad de mejoras? a. matemáticas b. disciplinas lingüísticas del inglés (ELA) c. ciencias d. ciencias sociales e. desarrollo del idioma ingles (ELD)								
6. ¿Cuáles estrategias/actividades de disciplinas lingüísticas del inglés apoyadas por programas categóricos se dirigen a los subgrupos o niveles de grados identificados? (Encierre el terma con un círculo) Intervenciones después de la escuela ELA/M/ELD Intervenciones sabatinas ELA/M/ELD Intervenciones durante el día (en el salón, laboratorios de aprendizaje, etc.) ELA/M/ELD Capitación profesional ELA/M/ELD Asistencia en conferencias ELA/M/ELD CSR ELA/M/ELD Tecnología ELA/M/ELD Servicios contratados ELA/M/ELD Materiales complementarios ELA/M/ELD Licencias de software (Accel.Reader, Read 180,LEXIA, BURST, etc.) ELA/M/ELD Otro ELA/M/ELD Paraprofesionales (ayudantes de maestros, de instrucción, educativos, bibliotecarios, de consejeros, etc.) Personal certificado de apoyo (coordinador de intervenciones, entrenador de instrucción, coordinador de datos, consejero, bibliotecaria, etc.)								



	1.	ÁREA DE ENFOQUE (MAXIMIZAR EL TIEMPO D	DE INSTRUCCIÓN)	
7.		áles fueron los resultados/datos (evaluaciones de las ba baldadas por programas categóricos o por cada objetivo	ases de los planes de estudio, trabajo estudiantil, boletas de calificaciones, e o?	tc.) de las estrategias/actividades
	a.	Disciplinas Lingüísticas del Inglés		
	b.	Matemáticas:		
	c.	Desarrollo del Idioma Inglés		
	d.	Índice de graduación		
	e.	Participación de padres-		
8.	Si n	o hubiera mejoras o sólo mejoras parciales, ¿cuáles fue	eron las posibles razones subyacentes?	
	a.	Falta de implementación puntual	b. Capacitación profesional insuficiente para apoyar la implementación	c. implementado sin fidelidad
	d.	No corresponde a las necesidades de los estudiantes	e. Asistencia estudiantil	f. Se necesita más tiempo
	g.	Personal de apoyo limitado	h. Otro	
9.	¿Ва	sado en el análisis del impacto de las estrategias/activi	dades en el rendimiento estudiantil, cuáles estrategias se deben eliminar el p	oróximo año?



	2. ÁREA DE ENFOQUE (CERRAR LA BRECHA DE APROVECHAMIENTO)	ESTADO DE IMPLEMENTACIÓN ENCIERRE CON UN CÍRCULO LA PUNTUACIÓN MÁS ADECUADA					OBSERVACIONES
1.	¿Tiene la escuela metas medibles articuladas para las mejoras de aprovechamiento estudiantil?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Completa mente 5	
2.	¿Tiene la escuela estrategias/actividades claramente articuladas para cerrar la brecha de aprovechamiento?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Completa mente	
3.	¿La escuela está complementando adecuadamente estos programas en base a las brechas en los programas y las necesidades estudiantiles?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Completa mente 5	
4.	¿Proporciona la escuela el apoyo adecuado para los aprendientes de inglés tanto para aprender inglés como para conseguir acceso a las materias?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Completa mente 5	
5.	¿Reciben los alumnos con discapacidades las acomodaciones y modificaciones adecuadas?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Completa mente 5	
6.	¿Existe alguna evidencia que las evaluaciones están alineadas con expectativas de aprovechamiento adecuadas y claramente especificadas?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Completa mente	
7.	Basado en el análisis extenso de las necesidades, ¿cuáles subgru	pos se iden	tificaron co	n necesidad	l de mejoras?	,	
	a. Aprendientes de inglés d. Hispanos b. Alumnos con di e. Afroa	scapacidade americanos	es (SWD)		c. Alumr	esventajas socioeconómicas	



	3. ÁREA DE ENFOQUE (CAPACITACIÓN PROFESIONAL)	ESTADO DE IMPLEMENTACIÓN ENCIERRE CON UN CÍRCULO LA PUNTUACIÓN MÁS ADECUADA			LA PUNTUA	OBSERVACIONES	
1.	¿Tiene la escuela metas medibles articuladas para la capacitación profesional?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Complet amente	
2.	¿Proporciona la escuela ayuda y apoyo de instrucción para los maestros de disciplinas lingüísticas y matemáticas?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Complet amente	
3.	¿En qué medida se implementan en la escuela las Comunidades de aprendizaje profesional (PLCs)?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Complet amente	
4.	¿Qué tan bien usamos los datos para determinar la capacitación profesional para el personal?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Complet amente	
5. ¿Qué función tienen los maestros al decidir cuáles evaluaciones se usarán para evaluar a los alumnos individualmente o al programa completo?							
6. ¿A qué clases de capacitación profesional ha asistido el personal?							
7.	7. ¿Qué fue el impacto/efecto de la capacitación profesional en el programa?						
8.	8. ¿Cómo se determinan las decisiones colectivas e individuales relacionadas a la capacitación profesional?						
9.	9. ¿Cómo se supervisa la implementación de la capacitación profesional?						



	4. ÁREA DE ENFOQUE (GRADUACIÓN/PROMOCIÓN)	ESTADO DE IMPLEMENTACIÓN ENCIERRE CON UN CÍRCULO LA PUNTUACIÓN MÁS ADECUADA					APUNTES
1.	¿Tiene la escuela metas medibles articuladas para la graduación/promoción?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Completa mente 5	
2.	¿Existe evidencia para determinar que el plan de estudios está claramente vinculado a los estándares básicos y otros estándares para el aprendizaje estudiantil relacionado a la promoción?	De ningún aspecto 1	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Completa mente 5	
3.	¿Se usan los datos para informar las decisiones sobre el plan de estudios, la instrucción y las evaluaciones para satisfacer los estándares de promoción o graduación?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Completa mente 5	
4.	¿Está enfocado el personal en apoyar y retar a los alumnos para que logren las metas de promoción y graduación?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Completa mente 5	

5. ¿Qué evidencia existe que hay un proceso para supervisar, evaluar y renovar el plan de estudios para satisfacer las necesidades de todos los aprendices para las metas de promoción y/o graduación?

6. ¿Cómo se alinean las estrategias y actividades de instrucción a las necesidades de aprendizaje estudiantil y los resultados de aprovechamiento esperados?



5. ÁREA DE ENFOQUE (PARTICIPACIÓN DE LOS PADRES)	ESTADO DE IMPLEMENTACIÓN ENCIERRE CON UN CÍRCULO LA PUNTUACIÓN MÁS ADECUADA					APUNTES
1. ¿Tiene la escuela metas medibles articuladas para la participación de los padres?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Complet amente 5	
2. ¿Participan las familias y los miembros de la comunidad en las decisiones escolares?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Complet amente 5	
3. ¿La escuela se comunica regularmente con las familias que hablan idiomas que no sean inglés?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Complet amente	
4. ¿Qué clases de servicios hay disponibles para apoyar a las familias, los miembros de la comunidad y los alumnos para fomentar relaciones familiares saludables?	De ningún aspecto	Lo mínimo 2	En parte	Bastante 4	Complet amente 5	
5. ¿Qué evidencia existe que las familias y los miembros de la conmiembros de la comunidad participan? ¿Qué tendencias y patro			nctividades	significativa	s que apoy	an al aprendizaje estudiantil? ¿Cuáles padres y

6. ¿Qué clase de asociaciones comunitarias existen para apoyar a las familias y los alumnos?



School Name: *TYPE OR PRINT*

APPENDIX FSINGLE **P**LAN FOR *STUDENT* **A**CHIEVEMENT

EVALUATION AND ASSESSMENT SURVEY SUMMARY

ANALYSIS OF SCORES	
FOCUS AREA	Notes/Action/Decisions
MAXIMIZING INSTRUCTIONAL TIME	
CLOSING THE ACHIEVEMENT GAP	
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT	
GRADUATION/PROMOTION	
PARENT ENGAGEMENT	



SINGLE PLAN FOR STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT CHECKLIST AND TIMELINE

The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is **due on March 17, 2017**. The following checklist is being provided as a guide in the development process.

ACTIVITY	DUE DATES
School Site Council (SSC) is formed.	By October 28, 2016
Involve parents and community in developing and implementing the school plan.	On going
Seek school committees' input. This needs to be documented in SSC minutes.	
Attend SBB workshop (Principals)	February 1-6, 2017
Conduct SPSA Assessment and Evaluation of current academic program.	
☐ Update and complete Site Controlled Budgets via SBB:	
Complete categorical budget for staffing (Principals, ILT, and SSC). Principals meet with Budget Analyst and Resource Teacher regarding 2017-18 workbooks	
Update and complete SPSA via SBB:	March 17, 2017
Review and analyze student achievement data.	
Analyze and summarize SPSA Assessment and Evaluation.	
Establish SMART goals.	
Upload to SBB:	
Home School Compact (Step 3)	
Parent Involvement Policy (Step 3)	
Professional Development for PI Schools (Step 3)	
☐ WASC Action Plan – Secondary Schools (Step 3)	
SPSA Assessment and Evaluation Summary (Step 3)	
☐ Master Schedule – Secondary Schools (Step 6)	
Complete and approve all sections of the SPSA.	



SINGLE PLAN FOR STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT CHECKLIST AND TIMELINE

Activity	Due Dates
Complete and approve all sections of the SPSA.	March 17, 2017
Print one (1) copy of the Recommendations and Assurances (R&A) and submit to your Area Superintendent.	One (1) copy of the R&A with original signatures
Obtain original signatures from:	
Principal	
SSC Chairperson	
R&A page must have original signatures. No Copies.	
Area Superintendent reviews SPSA will submit Recommendations and Assurances (RA) page (1) with original signatures	March 2017
Area Superintendent Education Center - Room 2014	
to the Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department.	
SPSAs are submitted to the Board of Education for approval.	June 2017

The Single Plan for Student Achievement (SPSA) is **due on March 17, 2017**.

Financial Planning, Monitoring and Accountability Department

Eugene Bruckner Education Center

Wing B - Room 3209

Contact your designated Resource Teacher if you have any questions. (See Attachment 3)